(1) C.S.1001 SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

(4)

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 3341 /2006

R.S. Mahanande,
S/o- Late Shri Ram Bharosa Mahanande,
Aged about 54 years,
R/o- "Matri Mahima", E-17, Gayatri Nagar,
P.O. Shankar Nagar, Raipur,
Chhattisgarh. **PETITIONER**

VERSUS

1. Food Corporation of India,
Through- Managing Director,
Head Quarter – New Delhi,
16-20 Barakhamba Lane,
NEW DELHI.

P.R. No. 3401/06
Presented by Shri Pankaj Shrivastava
dated 6/7/06

2. The Executive Director,
Food Corporation of India,
Dhiraj Arma Building,
Near Anant Kanekar Police Station,
Bandra (East)
MUMBAI – 400051.

3. The General Manager,
Food Corporation of India,
Ring Road Chouraha, Telibandha,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

4. The Area Manager,
Food Corporation of India,
Madeena Building,
Jail Road, Raipur,
Chhattisgarh.

5. The Employees Provident Fund Organization,
Through- Commissioner,
Regional Office, 8 & 9TH Floor.
Mayur Bhawan, Connaught Circus,
New Delhi-1. **RESPONDENTS**

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI ETC.

(42)

00030236

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(61)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WPS No. 3341/06 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	10-7-2006:- Heard Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner. The case of the petitioner is that respondent No.1 is not forwarding necessary particulars to respondent No.5 regarding deductions made from the salary of the petitioner in order to enable respondent No.5 to fix pension. From perusal of the petition, it appears that before filing this petition, the petitioner has not delivered any notice for demand of justice to the respondent No.1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that according to Clause 17 of the Employees' Pension Scheme respondents are bound to fix the pension within a period of 3 months from the date of retirement and the petitioner had retired in the month of December, 2004. Even after the lapse of about one year 6 months pension of the petitioner has not been fixed. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this petition to the respondent No.1, within a period of 10 days and in turn, respondent No.1 is directed to examine points raised by the petitioner and forward papers relating to pension to respondent No.5 within a period of 45 days from the date of receipt of representation. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, I.A. No.6569/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

Barve

(पीछे देखिये)

12.7.06